The name of the game in dentistry today is to save the tooth for use in the future. In this age of adhesive dentistry, respecting and preserving the remaining healthy tooth structure as well as improving aesthetics have become components of value as well. With today’s advanced technology and materials, longevity is mainly a matter of diagnosis, correct treatment planning and proper execution of technique.

The problem with replacing old amalgams with tooth-coloured composites is that they are difficult, inconsistent and unpredictable. Yet, the warranty on these 30-, 40- and 50-year-old silver fillings is running out. We have to remember that amalgam technology is more than 150 years old. At that time, people lost their teeth a lot earlier and died a lot earlier, too.

Now, however, we have a population that is over 50 years old and growing—and they want to keep their teeth feeling good and looking good. Patients are now living longer and they want and expect to keep their teeth for a lifetime.

Adhesive dentistry offers a more conservative restorative approach to conventional dentistry. Why take away healthy tooth structure when...
there’s a viable alternative? Why not attempt to save the good and just replace the bad? Direct composites and laboratory composite resin systems are valuable and worthwhile options to preserve tooth structure and long-term dental health. After all, preserving a patient’s natural tooth, whenever possible, is always in his or her best interest.

It has been our experience that providing multiple, large interproximal posterior composites directly can be difficult to achieve on a consistent basis in the oral environment, especially when replacing amalgams. Why? Because they take a lot of chair time. Amalgams require bulk. That’s why we were taught the block type preparation to provide the necessary bulk for strength.

Furthermore, because amalgams do not bond, we were taught to create undercuts and "extension for prevention." As mercury contracts and expands with cold and hot temperature changes over time, cracks form in the glasslike nature of teeth.

Most of the time, these large preps are difficult to restore with direct composite. There are isolation and contamination issues, and it is difficult to replicate nature in the mouth in a timely, cost-effective and predictable manner for every case, every time. In addition, curing in layers makes for a long appointment and increases the possibility of contamination. It is uncomfortable for patients to keep their mouths open for the prolonged amount of time necessary.

Often, large direct posterior composite resins yield unsatisfactory results in terms of esthetics, and especially long-term function, due to curing and contamination issues.

However, when we do same day inlay/onlays out of the mouth and in the laboratory, we find that multiple posterior restorations are easier, stronger and more anatomically correct. Because they are processed at the same time, they can be even more time efficient than using a CAD/CAM system and reduce tooth movement during the transitional phase that can result in altered contact or occlusion.

Not having to deal with provisional restorations absolutely eliminates those untimely emergencies when temporaries break or come off. Those costly, non-productive, uncomfortable and unhappy second appointments can also be avoided, saving everyone time and money. In addition, without concerns about retention of temporaries, preparation can be even more conservative.

_Case 1_

In this case, the patient came to our office on an emergency basis with a broken tooth on the upper right molar. It was no surprise that the tooth had a previously placed MO amalgam with recurrent decay that caused the mesiobuccal cusp to fracture off completely (Figs. 1 & 2). Often, teeth that have had old amalgam fillings tend to break due to cracks caused by the expansion and contraction of the metal alloy in the tooth’s glasslike substance.

In addition, caries detectors were non-existent when the bulk of amalgam restorations were placed so many teeth have recurrent decay under the old amalgam fillings.

After thorough clinical and radiographic examinations were performed, it was determined with the patient’s input that a same-day onlay would be the most prudent option for this tooth. This way, he would be receiving the maximum amount of care in the least amount of time.

The procedure

After placing topical anaesthetic, articaine HCl 4 % with 1:1,00,000 epinephrine was ad-
ministered to achieve profound anaesthesia. Next, a nitrous oxide nasal mask was placed to decrease the patient’s exposure to mercury aerosol while the amalgam was being removed. In this case, because the patient opted not to use nitrous oxide, pure oxygen was administered through the nasal mask.

We continued by isolating tooth #3 with a rubber dam. This step was essential to reduce the amount of amalgam ingested by the patient. It also offers isolation, higher visibility and better dentistry for our patients. If doing quadrant dentistry, I like to use the split-dam technique, which stretches to include several adjacent teeth in a quadrant. A FenderWedge (Directa) was then placed to separate and protect the adjacent tooth during prep, air abrasion, etching, bonding and refining while continuing to wedge the teeth for a tighter interproximal contact in the final restoration.

To facilitate removal of the remaining amalgam restoration, an hourglass-shaped diamond bur was used as diamonds are less likely to produce the fracture and craze lines associated with carbide burs. High-speed evacuation was used throughout the procedure to help decrease possible inhalation and ingestion during amalgam removal.

Caries detector was painted onto the prepared surface, and it was noted that cracks associated with the long-time expansion and contraction of the mercury-filled amalgam restoration had contributed to the apparent interproximal decay. Once the decay was carefully and completely excavated using a small, round diamond bur and a spoon excavator, the tooth was insulated in a few important steps (Fig. 3).

First, disinfectant was placed on the prepared dentinal surface (Hemaseal & Cide, Advantage Dental Products) and air-thinned. Then, two coats of self-etching bonding agent (OptiBond All-In-One Unidose, Kerr Dental) were placed to provide reduced postoperative sensitivity and high dentin bond strength.

After air thinning and light curing, a flowable composite (Premise Flowable, Kerr Dental) in the lightest shade was added to the internal walls and floor to create an even floor and to fill in undercuts that were originally prepared for amalgam retention. A flat-end cylinder, fine-grit, short shank diamond was used to refine the tooth preparation after insulation was completed (Fig. 4).

Next, two identical hydrocolloid alginate impressions (Dux Dental) were taken fast and accurately. They take only 90 seconds to set with our chosen materials, so they are ideal for same-day inlay/onlays. Before expressing the hydrocolloid material into the prepped tooth, we squirted a little surfactant (Prep-Wet Plus, Dux Dental) onto the tooth to wet the prep while my assistant mixed the alginate.

“Adhesive dentistry offers a more conservative restorative approach to conventional dentistry. Why take away healthy tooth structure when there’s a viable alternative? Why not attempt to save the good and just replace the bad?”
Meanwhile, a second assistant was loading a syringe with warm Identic Syringable Hydrocolloid Cartiloids (Dux Dental) to hand to me. The “plug” was initially squirted away from the prep and then into the prep itself so as not to interfere with a “clean” impression. Once the tray had been loaded with the alginate (Identic, Dux Dental), the first assistant handed it to me. The tray was inserted with gentle pressure and held steady for 90 seconds. Another impression was taken using the same aforementioned steps.

The patient then had about an hour break while the inlay was being made and was able to make the most efficient use of his time by having his teeth cleaned with the hygienist during this break in treatment. This not only made the time seem to go by faster for the patient, but it also eliminated “dead time” in our schedule.

The patient made the most of his time in the chair, fixing his broken tooth and getting his teeth cleaned. This type of combination treatment lends itself to a more productive day when scheduled this way, and patients really appreciate it.

Lab work

Meanwhile, back in the lab, the impressions were first disinfected and then poured with MACH-SLO (Parkell) and based with bite registration material on a C-Bite articulator (C-Bite, Dental Products) (Fig. 5). An electric waxing unit was used to block out any undercuts on the die (Ultra Waxer, Kerr Lab).

The onlay was incrementally built in composite layers with a D2 primary dentin base shade (Premise Indirect Primary Dentin, Kerr Dental) followed by an A2 facial dentin shade (Premise Indirect Facial Dentin, Kerr Dental) and a neutral incisal shade (Premise Indirect Incisal, Kerr Dental).

Once the onlay was cured with light, heat and pressure in the BelleGlass curing unit (Kerr Dental) for 10 minutes, it was fitted, adjusted and polished on the silicone models (Figs. 6 &7) with various burs and polishing wheels. All margins, contacts and contours were easily and accurately verified outside the mouth, saving valuable chair time and clinical frustration.

Seating the onlay

When seating the onlay, a medium size Isolite (Isolite Systems) was applied for easy isolation, suction, bite rest and illumination during the cementation of the onlay. No further anesthesia needed to be administered as the tooth had been lined with flowable composite during the prep stage. Patients really appreciate this—especially because they are almost back to “normal” by the time they leave.

The onlay was then tried in to verify proper contacts, contours, margins and esthetics. Before cementation, Expasyl (Kerr Dental) was gently packed into the sulcus (Fig. 8). The aluminium chloride dried the tissue, reducing the risk of sulcal seepage and contamination. The FenderWedges were then inserted beneath the interproximal floor to slightly separate and isolate the adjacent teeth and to help facilitate seating the onlay.

After rinsing the Expasyl (Kerr Dental) thoroughly, the enamel and composite core were gently micro etched with aluminum oxide (Etch-Master, Groman Dental) to increase retention...
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Fig. 20. Expasyl prior to seat.
Fig. 21. Expasyl and FenderMate prior to seating.
Fig. 22. Adapting FenderMate.

and remove any debris. Then the enamel and composite core were etched for 15–30 seconds. A single component, fifth-generation adhesive (OptiBond Solo Plus Unidose, Kerr Dental) was applied in two coats and air-thinned until there was no more movement. The enamel should be glossy (Fig. 9). Flowable composite (Premise Flowable, Kerr Dental) was dispensed into the prepped tooth and then the inlay was inserted into the tooth.

The FenderWedges were removed and the onlay was further seated using the Titanium-coated #21 Acorn with gentle pressure. Complete seating was facilitated using the contra-angle packer/condenser while an explorer was helpful in removing excess flowable before curing. When dealing with onlays involving interproximal surfaces, it is a good idea to floss after seating the onlay and before curing. The restoration was cured from all angles, starting at the interproximal gingival floors where leakage is most likely to occur.

Occlusal flash and excess flowable composite were then "buffed" with a short flame carbide while the interproximal margins were adjusted with bullet or needle carbides. Sometimes a Bard Parker #12 scalpel and Qwik Strip (Axis) are used to allow for easier removal of interproximal cement.

Once the proper occlusion was established, a diamond-impregnated point and/or cup was used to polish the restoration. Polishing was further enhanced through the addition of polishing paste.

In just one appointment, an esthetic and conservative interproximal onlay replacing a mesiobuccal cusp was prepped, placed and polished (Figs. 10 & 11).

Case 2

This patient also came in with a dental emergency. The filling had fallen out of his broken, lower right molar the day before he was going overseas for three weeks on business. He wanted a "quick and permanent solution" (Fig. 12).

First the tooth was anesthetized. Next, a FenderWedge was used to isolate the involved tooth, protect the adjacent interproximal surface and pre-wedge the teeth for optimal contacts (Fig. 13). The Isolite was placed to obtain a dry and illuminated field. We used caries detector to ensure complete decay removal (Fig. 14).

The tooth was then microetched, etched and desensitized with HemaSeal and Cide (Advantage Dental Products). Two layers of self-etching bonding agent (OptiBond All-In-One Unidose, Kerr Dental) were applied to provide reduced postoperative sensitivity and high dentin bond strength. This was then air-thinned and light-cured.

Flowable composite (Premise Flowable, Kerr Dental) was added to the internal walls and floor, creating an even floor and filling in undercuts that were originally prepared for caries removal and amalgam retention (Fig. 15). After the tooth was insulated, the prep was refined with a flat-end cylinder, fine-grit, short shank diamond.

Two identical hydrocolloid impressions (Dux Dental) were then taken as before. These impressions were handed to the assistant to be poured in the lab (Fig. 16). During the time between the onlay prep and seat, a small filling was done on another tooth to make the most of this appointment time slot while the onlay was being fabricated in the lab.
Lab work

As described in the previous case, the assistant immediately poured the impressions in the lab with MACHSLO (Parkell) after disinfecting them and basing them with a rigid, fast-setting bite registration material such as Blu-Mousse (Parkell) (Fig. 17). Within two minutes, we had a working silicone model on which to build the onlay (Fig. 18). The undercuts were then blocked out with an electric waxer (Ultra Water, Kerr Lab), paying special attention to avoid the margins (Fig. 19).

Starting with the Premise Indirect (Kerr Dental) dentinal shades (A2 primary dentin and A1 facial dentin) and ending with incisal shades (Neutral incisal), the onlay was incrementally fabricated in layers using various composite instruments. The onlay was then placed in the BelleGlass curing oven for heat, pressure and light curing.

In approximately 10 minutes, the onlay was ready to be finished with multiple finishing burs (Fig. 20) on the silicone models. The onlay was polished for a high shine and then checked on the model to verify accurate interproximal contacts and margins (Fig. 21).

Seating the onlay

When seating the onlay, the Isolite was reapplied for isolation, ease of placement and the patient’s comfort during the cementation stage. Before cementation, Expasyl (Kerr Dental) was gently packed into the sulcus, creating a dry space between the tooth and tissue without any risk of rupturing the epithelial attachment (Fig. 22). The aluminum chloride in the Expasyl dried the tissue, reducing the risk of sulcal seepage and contamination.

The FenderMate was then inserted beneath the interproximal floor to slightly separate and isolate the adjacent teeth and to help facilitate seating the onlay (Fig. 23). The Expasyl (Kerr Dental) was rinsed off thoroughly and the FenderMate was adapted to the adjacent interproximal surface with a condenser (Fig. 24).

Once all of this was properly placed, the enamel and composite core were first micro-etched to remove any debris and increase mechanical retention of the surface of the composite flowable liner. Then the surface was further prepared for bonding with 37% phosphoric acid for 15–20 seconds.

“...The problem with replacing old amalgams with tooth-coloured composites is that they are difficult, inconsistent and unpredictable.

Yet, the warranty on these 30-, 40- and 50-year-old silver fillings is running out.

We have to remember that amalgam technology is more than 150 years old.”
Flash and excess flowable composite was “buffed” with a short flame carbide while the interproximal margins were adjusted with bullet or needle carbides.

A Bard Parker #12 scalpel and Qwik Strip (Axis) were used to remove interproximal cement and then the remaining floss was used to floss out any remaining cement and to ensure proper at-home flossing.

Once the ideal occlusion was established, diamond-impregnated points and/or cups were used to polish the restoration, starting with the coarsest grit first and finishing with the finest grit for a smooth finish while a PDQ composite polishing brush (Axis Dental) with composite polishing paste (Enamelize, Cosmedent) made for a final high shine.

**Conclusion**

There are certainly clear advantages for both the patient and the dentist when doing indirect composite resin restorations. These restorations have helped us save patients’ teeth, time and money. Over the last 20 years, we have tweaked, updated and modified these restorations in terms of techniques, materials and equipment. These restorations not only save time and conserve healthy tooth structure, they are a valuable service to provide to our patients; and they appreciate it.

Direct composites are an essential part of our armamentarium. Nevertheless, indirect composite restorations have many advantages, especially when dealing with multiple restorations involving adjacent interproximal surfaces. There is simply no comparison between the strength of these materials made outside of the mouth with those cured in the mouth.

Moreover, it is much easier to build, control, polish and finish the occlusal, interproximal and facial/lingual morphology in the laboratory. Patients appreciate the numerous benefits of both direct and indirect composites, and they especially appreciate not having to be in cumbersome temporaries or having an inconvenient second appointment.

Perhaps the greatest advantage for the patient is being able to conserve the maximum amount of healthy structure while saving time and money—all at the same time. The trend in dentistry today is clearly toward more esthetic and less invasive. Indirect resin and ceramic inlays and onlays are not only compatible with this trend, but fulfill very nicely the restorative void between fillings and crowns,” said Ronald D. Jackson, DDS, FAGD, FAACD (Cosmetic Tribune US Edition, Vol. 1, Nov. 4, Dec. 2008).

Regarding durability, esthetic inlays and onlays are not new anymore. They have a record of accomplishment, and it is good. Wherever you practice, and however you practice, these restorations are durable, aesthetic, economical and very much appreciated!
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